

Governor's Office of Planning & Budget and Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst

Performance and Efficiency Initiatives Report

Prepared for the Legislative Management Committee regarding H.B. 326, "Performance Reporting and Efficiency Requirements," 2021 General Session

May 2022

SUMMARY

In December 2021, the Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst (LFA) and the Governor's Office of Planning and Budget (GOPB) produced a <u>report</u> outlining progress on the new performance and efficiency initiatives work directed in <u>H.B. 326</u>, "Performance Reporting and Efficiency Requirements," 2021 General Session. This brief gives additional information on the efficiency improvement process that was not included in the December report.

EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT PROCESS UPDATES

Pilot Projects

LFA and GOPB plan to complete three efficiency evaluations as part of the efficiency improvement process directed in statute. After creating a list of priority government processes for pilot projects, LFA and GOPB began reaching out to the executive directors of those agencies to discuss and scope the projects and set timelines.

Criteria and Methodology for Efficiency Evaluations

After a project is confirmed, LFA and GOPB will send the survey found in Appendix A to the host agency to prepare for a first efficiency evaluation meeting. Appendix B shows a list of questions that will be used for evaluation. Appendix C shows draft efficiency evaluation process steps.

Rewards and Incentives

H.B. 326 asks whether rewards or incentives should be used to motivate the implementation of efficiency evaluation recommendations. LFA and GOPB propose delaying consideration of this question until after completion of the 2022 pilot projects, and receiving clarification from the Legislature on how such rewards or incentives should be funded.

Evaluation of Performance Measures

LFA and GOPB are developing a rubric of questions to analyze existing agency performance measure results that are associated with the subject of each efficiency evaluation. LFA and GOPB analysts will use the same rubric to evaluate line item performance measures as part of the accountable budget process and to evaluate new funding item performance measures proposed by agencies. The rubric will be finalized over the next few weeks.

APPENDIX A

Efficiency Evaluation Criteria form

In 2021, the Legislature passed HB 326, Performance Reporting and Efficiency Requirements, which created an efficiency improvement process for operations at state agencies. As part of this process, the Governor's Office of Planning and Budget (GOPB) and the Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst (LFA) conduct efficiency evaluations. This document requests information to help us learn more about your work. Please provide the information to the best of your ability; however, we will only use this document as background and will not make it public (except as subject to existing public records law). As you respond to each question below, please include links to supporting information and materials as appropriate.

	The respondent's email (null) was recorded on submission of this form. * Required
1.	Email *
Pr	oject Scope
2.	Is this description of the project scope accurate: <insert after="" developed="" meeting="" project="" scope="" scoping=""> *</insert>
	Mark only one oval.
	Yes Skip to question 4
	No Skip to question 3
	Maybe Skip to question 3
	Project Scope

	What other information should we be aware of about the scope of this project? *
Ρ	roject Information
	What sections of code are relevant to this project? *
Α	gency Information
	Does your agency have a mission and/or goals? *
•	
	Mark only one oval.
	Yes Skip to question 6
	No Skip to question 8
	Other:
	Other:
Α	gency Mission & Goals

6.	What are your agency's mission and/or goals? *		
7.	How do your agency's mission and/or goals align with your statutory requirements? *		
Cl ₄ ;	n to guardian 0		
	p to question 8 erformance Measures		
	STOTTIGHTGE WEGGGTCG		
8.	What are your agency's line item measures that pertain to the project? *		

9.	If your agency has line item measures that pertain to the project, please provide (or link to) outcome data for those measures (up to five years if available).
10.	Do your agency's line item measures pertaining to the project capture the desired outcomes or outputs that could logically be used to predict desired outcomes?
	Mark only one oval.
	Yes
	No
	Other:
11.	Are your line item measures meaningful to you as an agency? *
	Mark only one oval.
	Yes
	◯ No
	Other:

12.	Does the project have other measures, internal or otherwise, that help measure progress toward your desired outcomes (e.g. operational measures, federally-reported measures)? If so, what are they? *		
13.	If your agency has other measures identified above, please provide (or link to) outcome data for those measures (up to five years if available).		
Sy	stem of Work Understanding		
14.	Does your agency have a clear understanding of the process flow of your systems in regard to the project? *		
	Mark only one oval.		
	Yes		
	No		
	Other:		

15.	Are there processes and/or services your agency is providing related to the project that are not working toward stated goals, improving the operational measures, or are statutorily required? *	
	Mark only one oval.	
	Yes	
	No	
	Other:	
16.	Are there any statute, rules, or policies keeping you from improving and/or meeting your project-related goals and targets? *	
	Mark only one oval.	
	Yes	
	◯ No	
	Other:	
lm	provement	
17.	What recommendations do you have for improvement in your agency related to the project? *	

to the project? *

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

APPENDIX B

Efficiency Evaluation Criteria Form

Meeting Questions:

- What are your project's mission and/or goals?
- Who are your project's primary customers?
- Who are the other important stakeholders?
- How do you measure the project's fulfillment of customer needs?
- According to these measures, how well does the project meet its customers' needs?
- Does your agency have a process for continuous improvement? If so, please explain what it is.

Potential follow-up questions from evaluation criteria form responses:

Section: Project Information

• 4. If no sections of code are relevant to the project, how did the work/project originate?

Section: Performance Measures

- 8. If the response is no, how come there are no line item performance measures pertaining to the project?
- 9. & 13. Review performance measures (line item and others) and outcome data provided for any interesting trends that should be examined.
- 10. If the response is yes, how do your agency's line item measures capture the desired outcomes or outputs?
- 10. If the response is no, how come your agency's line item measures do not capture the desired outcomes or outputs?
- 11. If the response is yes, explain how your line item measures are meaningful to you as an agency.
- 11. If the response is no, explain why your line item measures are not meaningful to you as an agency.
- 12. If the response is no, how come there are no other measures pertaining to the project that help assess achievement of desired outcomes?

Section: System of Work Understanding

- 14. If yes, please explain the process flow of your system in regard to the project.
- 14. If no (or potentially other), let's work through what the process flow is for the project.
- 15. If yes, what processes and/or services is your agency providing related to the project that are not working toward stated goals, improving operational measures, or are statutorily required?
- 16. If yes, what statute, rules or policies are keeping you from improving and/or meeting your project-related goals and targets?

APPENDIX C

Efficiency Evaluation Process Steps - Draft

Initiation date	Email to agency to schedule introductory meeting
As soon as possible after initiation date	Zoom meeting with the agency a. Meeting agenda i. Discuss the efficiency improvement process (5 min) ii. Explain reason for selection (10 min) iii. Introduce the team (5 min) iv. Discuss high-level process steps and expected timeline (5 min) I. Determine agency contacts for scoping meeting v. Questions
Anytime after the introductory meeting	3. Scoping meeting with agency a. Meeting agenda i. Introduce project ii. Define scope of engagement iii. Refine necessary agency resources iv. Refine evaluation timeline v. Discuss evaluation criteria form and provide agency with the form vi. Schedule information gathering interviews vii. Work out logistics b. Meeting participants i. Agency personnel ii. GOPB team iii. LFA team
About two weeks after scoping meeting	Receive completed evaluation criteria form from agency a. GOPB and LFA send list of interview questions as soon as possible following review of form

Completed in about two	5. Evaluation information meeting to gather information not included in
weeks	the evaluation criteria form
	a. Meeting agenda
	i. Additional information gathering through interview
	ii. Follow-up questions from evaluation criteria form
	b. Meeting participants
	i. Agency-assigned personnel
	6. Review and analyze evaluation criteria form responses and
	information collected from prior information gathering meeting
	a. Identify areas needing additional information/clarification
	b. Begin to identify areas of possible improvement
	c. Performance measures review
	d. Statutory obligations review
	e. Participants
	i. GOPB team
	ii. LFA team
Completed in about two	7. Fieldwork and information follow-up
weeks	a. Receive additional information and clarification
	b. Better understand the system of work and desired outcomes
	c. Participants
	i. Agency-assigned personnel
	ii. GOPB team
	iii. LFA team
Completed in about one	8. Review and analyze new information
week	a. Analyze new information and its effects on the initial evaluation
	b. Begin to develop recommendations for areas of possible
	improvement
	c. Performance measures alignment
	d. Participants
	i. GOPB team
	ii. LFA team
Completed in about one	Create first draft of report and recommendations
week	a. Populate the report template with findings and recommendations
	b. Participants
	i. GOPB team
	ii. LFA team
Completed in about one	10. Review, edit, and revise draft report
week	a. GOPB and LFA peer reviews of initial report draft by other team
	members
	b. Update draft as needed
	c. Participants
	i. GOPB team
	ii. LFA team

Completed in about one week Completed in about one	11. Review draft report with agency a. Review draft report with agency b. Confirm accuracy of understanding and information c. Participants i. Agency-assigned personnel ii. GOPB team iii. LFA team 12. Agency provides response to report
week	 a. Agency provides a written response, if desired b. Response is included in the final report c. Participants i. Agency-assigned personnel
Completed in about one week	 13. Final draft of report and recommendations published a. GOPB and LFA peer reviews of initial report draft by other team members b. Update draft as needed c. Publish d. Participants i. GOPB team ii. LFA team
Completed in about one week	14. Report out to agency a. Final report is reviewed with agency b. Discuss possible next steps and resources available c. Participants i. GOPB team ii. LFA team
Anytime after the agency report out meeting	 15. Report distribution a. LFA provides to OLAG b. GOPB provides to EPIC c. Agency distributes at their discretion d. Summary information from all efficiency evaluations will be included in the annual GOPB and LFA December report